G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
1390(04) Ser 14.
304 907.

191 .
(MUZrai)

File No. 319-16

Serial Nos. 1–5

Later references.
104-17 – Ms 1864 214

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–5

Part B for Nov-17

SUBJECT.

Ad S

G. O. No. 1206—Muz. 319-16-4, dated 24th Nov. 1917.

To

The Asst. Anandalwar
Addika Post.

Passing orders regarding the —— of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

appellant

No. 1269. Informs the above & the Resp.

Ulundaraw
Bovry. D 17-12-17

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24 7-16

G.R.S. 11] 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 319-16

FILE HEADING.

Addika post of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Seringapatam

From

M. V. Anandalwar of Seringapatam.

~~No.~~ petition Dated 27.9.16 Received 27.9.16

Diary No. 552	Enclos. 7	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order declining to register in the applt's name, the Addika Varavara of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Seringapatam.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A

The appeal petition is duly stamped. The Dy Commrs. orgl. order is dated 30.6.1916 and the appeal petition was received on 27.9.1916. It may be admitted. A draft calling for report with records is submitted for appn.

NOTES—First Sheet. 23-11-1916. 29/11/16

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

29.11.16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 319 of 191 6

Serial No. 2

No. 1361 Dy 2.12.16, 2 Dy Collrs of Mysore, ~~to the above~~ for a detailed report with records.

No 1362 Dt 2.12.16, to the below ~~to the above~~.

(3) From, the Dy Comrs, Mysore Dist Letter no 472 Dy 12.5.17

Submits report with records called for ~~from~~ in Serial 2.

The Dy. Comrs. order dated 29th June 1916 appealed against explains the facts of the case.

If directed, the case will be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases.

Submitted.

N (Sd) 16/4/17
16.4.1917

Vu
Sd
3/5/17

Submtd. with Dft. notices to the 13th inst.

N (Sd)
20.6.17

Appd
20/6/17

(put up)
29.-/1

Not properly rep[ort]ed. The Secrt papers relating to the revision of 1910. Urgent endorsement to Revenue on which the Dy Comrs

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB -70,000—17 12 15

Serial No.

bases his order should have been kept up.

I believe the question when an office may be said to be vacant has been decided more than once by Govt in Rev. Appeals. Consult Bo. I and put up precedents if available

Rea
6.7.17

The revised Jaidad Patti of 1900 is not readily traceable. A copy of it relating to the post in question, signed by the Dalvoi Amildar is available in the record

Misc. Files Nos. 139 of 1904 & 304 of 1907 relating to the petitions of the respondent are submitted. The endorsement No. 2749 Dt 17.5.1910 on which the Dy. Commr bases his order, is flagged

Precedents are not readily available regarding the position when an office may be said to be vacant.

Submitted. The Jaidad Patti sized W 1441 traced out is put up.
7.7.17

On merits the appellant appears to have a good case. The post occupied by the Respt's adoptive father was abolished and at the time after abolition he had not been adopted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 319 of 1916.

Serial No.

This Writ has not been served

The appellant's father died while the adjacent village post which is also hereditary and on the demise of the father, the son is entitled to succeed. The promise to consider the claims of the Respt in the occurrence of a vacancy should be interpreted in justice as meaning that on the failure of heirs of the Writ holder. I believe this is the interpretation adopted in the case of hereditary village Writs when during the survey the village is amalgamated in an adjoining village.

(V.V. Iyer)
Member
10.7.17

The aplt present and was represented by his Advocate Mr R.C. Narasimhiengar. The respt absent and notice not returned Served on him. Case is adjd & date will be intimated later on.

13.7.917.

Case adjd to 21-8-917

Mr V.V. Varadachariengar for appt; parties present. Respondent's brother present. Arguments heard. Orders reserved.

21/8

1457
8/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

Serial No.

Note

It is clear from the above that the Bill as it was introduced in the Legislature [illegible] was not the Bill as it was finally passed. The Bill was introduced in the Legislature in 1900. It was then referred to the Select Committee, which made [illegible] changes in the Bill. The Bill was then passed by the Legislature in 1900. It was then referred to the Select Committee, which made [illegible] changes in the Bill. The Bill was then passed by the Legislature in 1900. It was then referred to the Select Committee, which made [illegible] changes in the Bill. The Bill was then passed by the Legislature in 1900.

14/11/17

16/11/17

Note—Contn. sheet.

15-31

WD 1233—GPE—60,000—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 319 of 1916

Serial No.

LIV

Sd Cmte. with DFS for appl
M 1837
17-11-17
Seen
19.11.17
Sd
19/11/17
Sd
20/11/17
Appl. dismissed

4 No: 1206 dt 24.11.17, S Dc of Mysore, FS a copy of the order passed in the case, reversing the decree depriving the appellant of the "Hak" for the "Adige mane Sevika" in the dsm.

5 No: 1263 dt 30-11-17, S the [illegible] of the Resident - forwarding the annexed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 191

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.





Enclosures: Seven only

552

16-17

Mur

319-16

To

The Revenue Secretary

to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of MYSORE,

B A N G A L O R E.

Bor. / 2 Re Stamp Encl 7 annexures 24/11/16 / Bor T. Mark. 27/9/16 / 27/9/16

Appeal petition of M.V. Anandalvar, son of the late Virambhudi Srinivasa Iyengar, Adigemane Addika baravardar of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple, Seringapatam.

This is an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in his No. 143 dated the 30th June 1916 declining to appoint the petitioner to the hereditary post of Adigemane Addika enjoyed by his father till July 1914 when the latter died (vide endorsement attached hereto) for the following ~~and~~ among other grounds:-

1. The post of Chikka Parupatya of the above temple was conferred upon the petitioner's great grand-father - Srinivasa Iyengar in the year 1814 by Sannad of the late Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore; (vide copy of Sannad attached hereto) and up to 1844 the petitioner's great grand-father, and from 1844 to 1869 the petitioner's little grand-father, and from 6th October 1869 to 11th July 1914 the petitioner's father Srinivasa Iyengar enjoyed the post in due succession.

2. The altered designation of Adigemane Addika was given to the hereditary post of Chikka Parupatya, only

in the revised Tasdik sanctioned by Government in the year 1900 and the petitioner's father continued to enjoy the post till the date of his death in July 1914. (vide endorsements No. 314/70-2 dated 15th September 1900, No. 1418 dated 10th October 1904 and No. 174 dated 13th July 1905 issued by Government to the petitioner's father Virambhudi Srinivasa Iyengar confirmingthe altered designation given to the post of Chikka Parupatya and keeping his Hak intact). Thus it is clear beyond doubt that the post of Adigemane Addika - is not a new appointment but the Chikka Parupatya of the petitioner's ancestors with the designation altered only recently.

3. The post of Adigemane Addika enjoyed by the petitioner's father has now been given by the Deputy Commissioner to one Komara Tirumalachar, at present a school going boy, who lost the Addika and Itihasa posts of the same temple, quite different appointments altogether, after the death of his father in the year 1897, which were abolished by the revised Tasdik sanctioned by Government in the year 1900 (vide general remarks at the end of the revised Tasdik patti of 1900). The subsequent appeal petitions of Komara Tirumalachar to revive his claim to these posts of Addika and Itihasa have been successively rejected by Government; and endorsements No. 2622 A dated 6th December 1905, No. 2749 dated 17th May 1910 and No. 2161 dated 22nd February 1911 have been already issued to him on the subject. Thus Komara Tirumalachar has no right to the present vacancy of Adigemane Addika.

4. The petitioner had to seek employment as a school master, after the death of his father, only as he had no - other means of livelihood to depend upon owing to the long delay of the Deputy Commissioner's order which was issued only

on the 30th June 1916; and there is no record to show that his conduct was not satisfactory nor has he done any heinous act as to deserve such a condemnation at the hands of the Deputy Commissioner. The Deputy Commissioner has entirely misconstrued the real facts.

5. The Deputy Commissioner has failed to record the statements of the petitioner which the latter gave before him in response to a notice issued by the Deputy Commissioner, on the 30th October 1914 (vide notice dated 16th October 1914 and the Deputy Commissioner's endorsement No. 99 of 1916-17 dated 21st July 1916 attached hereto).

6. The present vacancy of Adigemane Addika is caused by the death of petitioner's father and not by the death of the father of Komara Tirumalachar, the newly appointed incumbent to this post. Komara Tirumalachar has lost 16 years ago his right to the Addika and Itihasa posts of his ancestors when these appointments together with others were abolished in the revised Tasdik patti of the year 1900. He is not therefore entitled to get the petitioner's father's post of Adigemane Addika, a different appointment, which justly belongs to the petitioner.

7. The order of the Deputy Commissioner is very unjust and is against law, weight of evidence and facts of the case.

The petitioner humbly prays that Government may be pleased to confer upon him the hereditary post of Adigemane Addika which was long enjoyed by his father and which has come to the petitioner's family through three generations in due succession.

M. V. Anandalwar

BANGALORE
27/11 September 1916

143

(ಕ)

(ಸೈಂಡಲ್)

ಪ್ಲೈವುಡ್ ಅಥವಾ ಮರದ ಹಲಗೆ

ಸೈಂಡಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಅಳವಡಿಸಲು ಬಳಸುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳು

ಸೈಂಡಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಅಳವಡಿಸಲು ಬಳಸುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳು

ಸೈಂಡಲ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಅಳವಡಿಸಲು ಬಳಸುವ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳು

MWL 3

Mysore Murrai Dept

Encls: 4

True copy

No 1418

The Government of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore

Inam Secretariat,
Dated 10th October 1904

Endorsement granted to Veeranibhudi Sreeniva Iyengar Addikar in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Sreerangapatam, with reference to his petition Regd. No. 933 dated 30th August 1904, addressed to His Highness the Maha-raja, praying for a review of the orders passed by Govt declining to alter his designation into that of Chicka Par-pattegar.

In reply, the petitioner is informed that Govern-ment see no reason to modify the orders already passed in his case. His petition is therefore rejected.

By order
(Sd) L. Anantasami Rao
Secretary

COURT FEE

True copy

No 174
Dy 13.7.05

Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department,
Dated Bangalore 13th July 1905

Endorsement granted to Veerambhudi Sreenivasa Siengar Addika in the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Sreerangapalam with reference to his Memorial No 4741/2116. dated 12th May 1905 to H. H. the Maharaja praying for a review of the Government order declining to alter his designation into that of Chik Darpathegar.

No 14182 10.10.04
2881 Dy 10.2.05

In reply, the Memorialist is informed that the marginally noted endorsements have been issued to him on the subject. Government see no reason to modify the orders already passed in his case. His present memorial is according rejected.

By order
(Sd) L. Anantasami Rao
Secretary

COURT FEE



GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1361 Enc 8 dy 2/12/16
Mis 319-16-2
The accompanying Petition Registered

No 552 dated 27. 9. 1916

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from M. V. Anandaraw of Seringapatam appealing against the Dy. Commrs. order declining to register in the appellant's name, the (Adigenave) Addika-varaward of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Seringapatam,

is forwarded, with compliments together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist

for a detailed report with record.

By Order,

29.4.16

24/4/16 Secretary.

BANGALORE,

191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882—GPB—1,000—10 11-15

2 ಡಿ ಸಿ 12-9-16.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

[illegible] ಹೋಬಳಿ

[illegible] ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

[illegible] ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

[illegible]

~~ಪೀಣ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ~~ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 27.9.1916
ಅಪೀಲು
ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಬಗ್ಗೆ

[illegible]

ರವಾನೆ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

29.11.16

24/11/16 [illegible] Secretary

GPB—50,000—17 9-15



From The Dy Commr Nizamabad

To The General Secretary to Govt Nizamabad

Dated 10th March 17.

Despatched 12th March 17.

Received

General No. -
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits reports with records re the Mica of Addiks of Sri Ranganatha swamy temple, Srirangapatam.

Office of the Dy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Dated 10th March 1917.

Ref.

From

K. Matthan Esquire, BA
Dy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To,

D. Ms. Narasinga Rao, Esqre, BA. BL
Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, General Dept, Nuggai Gundu

Sir,

Adverting to your O.M. No 1361/Misc 319 16-1 dated 2nd December 1916 calling for a detailed report & connected records on the appeal petition preferred by M.V. Arundalwar of Srirangapatana against the order of this Office re the registry in the appellant's name of the Office of Archika of Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Seringapatam, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire record received in this Office on 2nd March 1917 vide Yadi No 168 dated 29th January 1917 from the Sub Division Office of French Rocks, and to state that the facts of the case are fully set forth in this Office order dated 29th June 1916 (Page No 113 flagged A).

2. The appeal petition is resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Kg

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 18 of 1916 - 17

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

M. V. Anandalwar Kumara Swami Jeeyamaha

Subject.

Appeal against order no. 1143 Dy 30th June 1916 of the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dist, declining to register in the appellant's name, the Addika baraward of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Seringapatam.

Decision

The case was heard on 21st August 1917. The appellant was present in person and was represented by his advocate Mr. N. V. Narasimmiengar while the respondent's natural

GPB—500—12-8-18.

brother was represented the respondent ~~who is a minor~~

It is clear from a perusal of the records that the office in question viz "Adigemane Addika" formerly Chikka Parpatya has been in the possession and enjoyment of the family of the appellant for more than one generation, the last holder and karavadar having been the father of the appellant. The original designation of Chikka Parpatya was changed into that of Adigemane Addika at the time of the revision of Jasdik in 1900. At the same time, the posts of Addika and Vihasa along with three other posts were bolished as being unnecessary. The adoptive father of the respondent had held

R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

The posts of Addika and Hihasa. The respondent was adopted long after the abolition of the said two posts. He has no manner of right to succeed to the vacancy of Adigemane Addika caused by the death of the appellant's father.

The Dy. Comm's order depriving the appellant of his "hak" is neither just nor equitable, and cannot be sustained.

The appeal is allowed.

1206 Enclosure
Memo no. Dy 24.11.17

Submitted.
MW2319-16-4
Mayne's order may be rescinded?

forwarded
Copy to the Dy. Comm., Mysore Dist., with the Govt. record received with his letter no. 472 Dy 12th March 17.

B. O.
20.11.17
Joint Secretary

19.11.17

19/11/17

G.R.S. 27|

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

B.O.

Joint Secy.

19/11/17

19.11.17



In the Court of the council

Munsiff's **Case No.** 18 **of 1916—17.**

Appellant—
M. V. Anandalwar
Vs.
Respondent—

I the Appellant above named do hereby nominate and appoint **Mr. N. V. Narasimhaiengar,** B. A., B L., Advocate, in the above suit and I do hereby empower the said Advocate to appear for and on my behalf in the above suit, to take all and any steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during progress or after its decision, to sign and subscribe his name to any document which he may deem proper, to execute any decree that may be passed in favour and to receive out of the said Court any sum of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitute or substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating to or connected therewith, to represent in matters relating to the same and to act in the premises as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as might do if personally present and did the same And do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify, and confirm all and singular whatsoever the said Advocate shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done in the premises by the virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof have hereunto set hand this

13 day of July 191

M. V. Anandalwar

ACCEPTED
N. V. Narasimhaiengar
Advocate for

Signed before me by the executant who is known to me

N. V. N.

National Press, Bangalore.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 1206—Muz. 319-16-4, dated 24th Nov. 1917.

Addika Post.

Passing orders regarding the —— of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

W D A1226—GPB—6-12-17—250

No. 1206—Muz. 319-16-4, dated 24th November 1917.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. 18 of 1916-17.

Appellant	*vs.*	Respondent
M. V. Anandalwar		Kumarswami Tirumalachar.

Subject.

Appeal against Order No. 143, dated 30th June 1916, of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, declining to register in the appellant's name, the Addika baraward of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

Decision.

The case was heard on 21st August 1917. The appellant was present in person and was represented by his Advocate Mr. N. V. Narasima Iyengar, while the respondent's natural brother represented the respondent.

It is clear from a perusal of the records that the office in question, *viz.*, "Adigemane Addika" formerly Chikka Parpatya has been in the possession and enjoyment of the family of the appellant for more than one generation, the last holder and barawarddar having been the father of the appellant. The original designation of Chikka Parpatya was changed into that of Adigemane Addika at the time of the revision of Tasdik in 1900. At the same time, the posts of Addika and Ithihasa along with three other posts were abolished as being unnecessary, the adoptive father of the respondent having held the posts of Addika and Ithihasa. The respondent was adopted long after the abolition of the said two posts. He has no manner of right to succeed to the vacancy of Adigemane Addika caused by the death of the appellant's father.

The Deputy Commissioner's order depriving the appellant of his "hak" is *neither just nor equitable, and cannot be* sustained.

The appeal is allowed.

Memo No. 1206—Muz. 319-16-4, dated 24th November 1917.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District with the vernacular records received with his letter No. 472, dated 12th March 1917.

By Order,

for Joint-Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

Exd.—C. R.